```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 2
                            Norfolk Division
 3
 4
 5
       UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 6
                                              CRIMINAL ACTION NO.
        V.
                                              2:18cr113
 7
       ADONIS MARQUIS PERRY,
 8
               Defendant.
 9
10
11
                       TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12
                           Norfolk, Virginia
13
                            December 12, 2019
14
15
     BEFORE: THE HONORABLE REBECCA BEACH SMITH
16
              United States District Judge
17
18
     APPEARANCES:
19
               UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
20
               By: William B. Jackson
                    Joseph DePadilla
2.1
                    Assistant United States Attorney
                    Counsel for the United States
22
               HOBBS & HARRISON, PLLC
2.3
               By: Nicholas R. Hobbs
                    Counsel for the Defendant
24
25
```

was only for a short period of time. After the continuance was granted, I received more correspondence from Mr. Perry. Again, I try to be careful about any letters that I receive from Mr. Perry because I do believe that I still do owe him a duty as my client.

But some of them had some threats in it that were almost daring me not to take those threats seriously.

Because of that, I did have to take some actions to make sure that my staff was protected. I was here in Norfolk throughout, up until Tuesday, as we just discussed.

But to do that, Judge, I think the conflict now and the relationship between Mr. Perry and I has deteriorated to the point where we cannot continue on in a lawyer/client capacity. Just to give one example for the Court for why I feel that it's got to this situation, I received a 404(b) notice from the government late Tuesday, which I was able to review yesterday.

It's no secret Mr. Perry has got prior convictions of felonies. The government intends to introduce his prior conviction, as well as his presentence report, his statement of facts, some other things that if I was in a usual position with the client, I would advise stipulations.

In this situation I feel like I'm sort of in a almost catch-22 situation. I think it's in his advantage to stipulate, but I believe if I stipulate, then I'm going to

receive another threat. So to go back and forth between do I not stipulate out of safety, or do I go ahead and stipulate against what I believe will be Mr. Perry's ill-will toward me stipulating to the government, but I think it's in his best interest. I don't know -- that's sort of where I keep running through these issues in my mind, at what point do I act? So I think that's why it was necessary for me to file the motion.

THE COURT: Let's don't concern ourselves about the stipulations or not, because, obviously, if you're an attorney in the case, you would go to your client and you would discuss the stipulations. If the client agreed, you tell the client what you would any client, whether the client is mad at you or not. I mean, a lot of clients get angry.

The point is that if you are in the case, you would go to your client and say, "These are the things that are out there. It's in my opinion," whatever it is, "in your best interest to stipulate, and I'm advising you of the pros, I'm advising you of the cons," and you let him ultimately make that decision. That doesn't concern the Court at all.

Mr. Perry can say you advised him of the advantages to stipulating, so certain information doesn't go before the jury. You can advise him that he doesn't have to, and he

makes that decision, and you put that on the record with the Court.

So that is not a consideration, in my opinion, in the motion to withdraw. The consideration is the letters that you quoted parts of them in your motion. You submitted the originals for ex parte review under seal by the Court, and I will file them as such, ex parte under seal because, as you say, he's still your client, and you have a relationship with him until you withdraw or until the Court allows you to withdraw.

MR. HOBBS: Understood, Judge.

THE COURT: So the two original letters, which I have reviewed ex parte under seal, will remain under seal ex parte, and the Court will give you copies to retain for your records.

So let's go back. I understand your motion, and I'm going to want to hear from Mr. Perry, and I'm going to want to hear from the United States.

Before I hear from Mr. Perry, it's the Court's understanding from your filing, Mr. Jackson, that the government takes no position?

MR. JACKSON: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Then, Mr. Perry, you can address the Court from there, if you're more comfortable. If you want to pull the microphone and address the Court from there, you

motion to discovery or me, anything. He -- every time I

tried to get my family to contact him or call him, they don't answer, you know. What am I left to do with this? This is my life we are talking about here.

THE COURT: Let me just mention a couple of things to you in no particular order of importance. Number one, I would tell you that over the last seven weeks, it's of record in this court, Mr. Hobbs, as well as, I think, maybe up to eight or nine other attorneys have been in a trial that has been pending in this court since 2017 before Judge Davis.

So he had a loyalty to that defendant, as well as to you, but there is not any way physically he can be in two places at one time. So in terms of the last few weeks, and he was prepared, as I understood it, to go to trial on December 3rd. The only thing that kept the trial from going was this other matter.

The second thing is, the videos, I feel assured, and Mr. Hobbs can let us know, he has reviewed all of that. It's just that another attorney, as I recall, Mr. Woodward, was in the case when the motions to suppress were being heard. Those were, as I understand it, parts of the motion to suppress. So, consequently, those had already been reviewed with you, and you had gone through all of this. There have been a couple of motions to suppress hearings.

So there would be no reason for Mr. Hobbs to

```
that is not coming up and talking to me, does not discuss my
 1
 2
     case with me. I'm not willing to risk my life with
 3
     something like that.
              THE COURT: I'm listening to your position.
 4
 5
              THE DEFENDANT: And, like I said, I have tried
 6
     multiple times to contact Mr. Hobbs, to get through to
 7
     Mr. Hobbs to talk to him about things pertaining to my case,
 8
     and it's like he avoids me.
 9
              THE COURT: Well, I know that he has been in this
10
     court for the past seven weeks.
11
              THE DEFENDANT: I understand that.
12
              THE COURT: He is not avoiding you.
13
              THE DEFENDANT: I understand that. This was well
14
     before the trial even started. I tried multiple times to
15
     come and visit me. As soon as I start talking about the
16
     case or pulling out documents, he's ready to get up out of
17
     there every time.
18
              THE COURT: Well, I hear what you're saying, but I
19
     would say that the proceedings before Magistrate Judge
20
     Miller and the motion to inquire, because I believe there
     was a motion in this regard by, I believe it was by the
21
2.2
     defendant.
2.3
              MR. HOBBS: Yes, Your Honor. That was in November.
24
     It was on a Friday when we were in recess on the other
25
     trial.
```

THE COURT: At that point it was during, and I believe Judge Miller, who had had the experience with all of the attorneys thus far in terms of, I think it was first Mr. Grindrod, and I believe Mr. Grindrod withdrew, and after Mr. Grindrod, it was Mr. Woodward. He stayed in the case until the motion to suppress was concluded.

MR. HOBBS: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Then he was allowed to withdraw. Then there was the motion from Mr. Perry, and the only thing I can accept is the official transcript. That's what I accept from that proceeding. The official transcript at that hearing, Mr. Perry, you indicated you wanted Mr. Hobbs. This was just back in November after Mr. Hobbs was well into this other case, you indicated that you wanted him to proceed.

I just didn't want -- I didn't want Woodward on my case after the whole altercation. I didn't want Woodward on my case, for real. To be honest with you, the best attorney that I ever had thus far was Andrew Grindrod, and the only reason why I ever -- I stopped talking to Andrew Grindrod because he tried to force me to take a plea. As far as all the other attorneys that I had on my case, thus far he has been the best attorney that I ever had.

THE COURT: The problem is, Mr. Perry, that you are

```
becoming the architect of your own problems here. The Court
 1
 2
     can't resurrect Mr. Grindrod as an attorney once he has been
 3
     in it and withdrawn. I don't know that even if the Court
     could, he would be willing to come back in the case. You
 4
 5
     have to cooperate with these attorneys, and they have to
 6
     cooperate with you. The Court's interest is the same as
 7
     yours.
 8
              THE DEFENDANT: I have been cooperating with
 9
    Mr. Hobbs since you all assigned him to my case, and you can
10
     ask him right now. I never in any way disrespected
11
    Mr. Hobbs as long as he has been my attorney.
12
              THE COURT: Well, the letters were pretty tough
13
     letters.
14
              THE DEFENDANT: I'm saying.
15
              THE COURT: Mr. Perry.
16
              THE DEFENDANT: If he was doing his job.
                                                        If vou
     trust a person with your life, if he was doing his job, I
17
18
     wouldn't have to say anything to him.
19
              THE COURT: You want Mr. Hobbs to withdraw?
20
              THE DEFENDANT: Of course.
2.1
              THE COURT: You persist in your motion?
22
              MR. HOBBS: Yes, I do, Judge.
23
              THE COURT: Mr. Hobbs has filed the motion to
24
     withdraw, and as I indicated, the United States did respond
25
    but did not necessarily take a position on the withdrawal.
```

The grounds for Mr. Hobbs' motion to withdraw are fully set out in the motion filed on December 8th, 2019, document number 123, and the letters are being filed *ex parte* under seal with the Court.

I find no inappropriate conduct by Mr. Hobbs. I have reviewed the full record, I've reviewed the motion to inquire, and he's certainly not being relieved because of any inappropriate conduct or any failure on his part.

They are not even veiled but clear threats in the various letters that have been sent. I won't go through the whole record now of the history with the attorneys or the threats that have been rendered, but just recently, since the hearing on November 22nd, when the Court had, obviously, no choice but to move the trial, and I would also note that when it was moved, it was within the speedy trial deadline. So there was no prejudice in that regard to Mr. Perry.

In that hearing there was some mumbling from the defendant to his attorney, and the court security had to intervene. Mr. Hobbs persisted in his representation, and on November 25th he sent a letter to Mr. Hobbs, which recounted some very untoward language and threats. I'm just not going to even repeat them on the record. They are right here. They are in the letter. They are on Page 2 on the filing. There was a line in there that says, "You go home to your family every night, but I can change that, and I put

```
that on everything I love." That was a direct threat.

There were some other things said before that.

Then on December 7th the defendant sent another letter and said that his counsel had been lying from the very beginning.

Now, Mr. Perry, I know that you can conduct yourself properly in court.
```

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: I know you can. So I expect you to do that. He did say some things, and I'll quote, "I have to send some people to go to your law office to prove that you" -- bad word -- "can get real. I see now you feel that it can't happen or I might just be blowing smoke. I tell you one thing, I'm not going to spend the rest of my life behind bars. It's going to be for the real reason. I want you to know that whatever happens at the end of all this, at the trial, is what you made it, Hobbs."

As a result of this, I would give Mr. Hobbs credit, even after the December 7th letter, he went to the jail to see Mr. Perry on December 8th to inform him that he had no choice but to withdraw because not only does his family feel threatened, he felt threatened, but his staff felt threatened. I'm not going to read all this into the record.

I would find that as a result of the latest actions, in culmination with the history of the case and the

matter, that it is appropriate to allow Mr. Hobbs to withdraw. I do so regrettably because I think Mr. Hobbs is a fine attorney and has persevered in this case.

I understand that when an attorney breaks down in their mind and the relationship goes astray and with these kind of threats, I would find that there is a complete breakdown in communication, and also Mr. Perry has affirmed today that he doesn't want Mr. Hobbs as his attorney. So, consequently, I would find that the standard for withdrawal, I think it's in the Mullen case, has been met here.

The motion has, obviously, been timely by
Mr. Hobbs, and there is a complete total lack of
communication at this point, obviously, preventing an
adequate defense, so I do grant the motion to withdraw with
three caveats. Number one, Mr. Hobbs, you obviously have to
turn over your file to any new attorney that is appointed.
The next matter is that any new attorney, obviously, cannot
be prepared for a trial next Tuesday.

So, consequently, the Court, of necessity, is going to have to continue this trial again. I do find that it's Mr. Perry who's causing these matters. There is a complete breakdown. You've had turmoil with various attorneys and the language and everything that's been used. On the other hand, I know that there are times, for instance today, I think that you're conducting yourself fairly well,

Mr. Perry, but you can't keep going through attorneys. The law says at some point you cannot keep going through attorneys, and the Court does not have to keep appointing attorneys to represent you. So you're going to have to keep yourself under control, and you're going to have to cooperate with the attorney or you're going to find yourself on your own.

You would still have to have standby counsel so that the Court is assured that there is a presence during the whole proceedings. For now I will let Mr. Hobbs withdraw. I will appoint new counsel to represent you.

I would find that the interest of justice in having the speedy trial and the public are outweighed here by the need for Mr. Perry to have an attorney, to have an attorney that's prepared, and to have an attorney so that there is a continuity as between representation by that attorney and the trial. Given the file here, I don't see how anybody could be prepared. If they can, I appoint them, and they are ready to go forward, I will do that.

Obviously, if they move to continue, it will be a continuance granted on the defense's motion, and, consequently, that will, again, toll the speedy trial. But I still don't know if it will toll it enough that the person can be prepared. But the Court is left with no choice but to allow Mr. Hobbs to withdraw.

```
Is there anything, Mr. Jackson, that you want to
 1
 2
     add today?
 3
              MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, just to be clear, the
     trial on Tuesday is taken off of the docket?
 4
 5
              THE COURT: No. I'm going to leave it on for now,
 6
     but I'm making it clear that any new attorney that is
 7
     appointed, obviously today is the 12th.
 8
              MR. JACKSON: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: It's a Thursday. If the new attorney,
 9
10
     after discussions with Mr. Hobbs, does not feel that he or
11
     she can go forward with the trial on Tuesday, I will
12
     entertain a continuance.
13
              What I'm saying now is that I would continue if
14
     it's legitimate, which I don't know of any attorney -- maybe
15
     there is one out there -- that can spend every hour between
16
     now and the 20th. But I will entertain a motion for a
17
     continuance, and if I do, then we will set a new trial date
18
     and go from there.
19
              MR. JACKSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: Mr. Hobbs, is there anything else you
21
     want to say?
22
              MR. HOBBS: Nothing from me, Judge.
23
              THE COURT: Mr. Jackson, is there anything else you
24
     want to say?
25
              MR. JACKSON: No, ma'am.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: I will start working on it this
afternoon with the clerk, and I will try my best to get an
attorney. It's 2:30 today, but I'll try my best to get
somebody either today, and at the latest tomorrow, to
represent you and have the clerk instruct them or in my
order to meet with Mr. Hobbs. I will put that in my order.
         THE DEFENDANT: Will call me?
         THE COURT: Of course, of course. All the file has
to be turned over. A new attorney has to be able to go
through all the paperwork and whatever after this point,
also point out everything from the docket and so forth.
         THE DEFENDANT: Mr. Hobbs informed me that he
doesn't have some documents that were missing out of my
motion to discovery.
         THE COURT: What you should do, Mr. Perry, is when
you have a new attorney, tell that attorney, ask that
attorney if he sees those documents, and if he doesn't, ask
him if he can get them for you.
         THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
         THE COURT: In other words, Mr. Hobbs has a duty to
turn over his file.
         THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
         THE COURT: When he turns over his file, you ask
the new attorney, and then if that new attorney doesn't have
the documents, then what you should do is ask that attorney
```

```
if he or she can get them for you.
 1
 2
              THE DEFENDANT: He stated that he never had them
 3
     with me, but I know they exist because I had them before.
     But he stated that he never had them.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Let's just wait and see whether they
 6
     are there or not. I don't know what you're talking about,
 7
     and I don't want to do that in court because I don't want
 8
     the prosecutor or anybody to hear what document you're
 9
     talking about.
10
              All right. If there is nothing further, then the
11
     Court will be in recess. The trial is still on for Tuesday,
12
     but I will get an attorney appointed forthwith, and you will
13
     have notice of that, Mr. Jackson. I assume that you would
14
     be agreeable with the new attorney for a continuance, you
15
     won't object?
16
              MR. JACKSON: I will not object, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: I understand the continuance is on the
18
     defense.
19
              MR. JACKSON: Yes, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: With all that being said, the Court is
21
     in recess until tomorrow.
22
              (Hearing adjourned at 2:30 p.m.)
2.3
24
25
```

```
1
                         <u>CERTIFICATION</u>
 2
        I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
 3
 4
    from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
 5
 6
             X_____x
 7
                     Jody A. Stewart
 8
                     X____x
 9
10
                         Date
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```